EXHIBIT T-2

		Page 36			Page 3
[1]	Norman	1	[1]	Norman	
[2]	A: No.		[2]	Q: For purposes of SEC compliance	
3]	Q: Are there time stamps?		[3]	reporting, the company uses the numbers found on	
4]	A: No.		[4]	the company's maintained Excel ledger, correct?	
5]			[5]	A: Yes.	
	on the Excel ledger, is it your testimony that		[6]	MR. MANSFIELD: Why don't we	
	the transfer agent keeps its own registry of		[7]	mark this as — David, unless you	
8]	Local.com stock?		[8]	have an objection, why don't we just	
9]	A: Yes.		[9]	continue the numbering.	
0]	Q: Is it two different registries of	[1	10]	MR. LOPEZ: All right.	
1]	the stock, correct?	[1	11]	MR. MANSFIELD: Make it 10.	
2)	A: Correct.	[1	12]	(Form 8K for Local.com was	
3]	Q: When determinations are made as to	[1	13]	marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 10	
4]	the number of issued and outstanding shares for	[1	14]	for identification, as of this	
5]	Local.com, what source is consulted?	[1	15]	date.)	
6]	A: Depends.	[1	[6]	Q: I am handing you what has been	
7]	Q: Please describe.	[1	17]	marked as Exhibit 10 —	
8]		[1	18]	MR. FRIED: Do you have a	
9]	the SEC when calculating the weighted average	[1	19]	copy for me?	
oj	shares outstanding, which I am required to do	(2	20]	Q: Can you identify it?	
	under my 10Qs and 10Ks, we can use the	(2	21]	A: Its' a Form 8-K for Local.com	
	spreadsheet. If it has to do with the annual	[2	22]	Corporation.	
3]	general meeting, I believe we would use the	(2	23]	Q: Did you sign it?	
4]	transfer agent.	(2	24]	A: Yes.	
5]	Q: Why the distinction?	[2	25]	Q: What does it state?	
		Page 37			Page 3
[1]	Norman		[1]	Norman	
[2]	A: Because I believe a record from		[2]	A: It states the entry into a	
3	the transfer agent is required for the general		[3]	material definitive agreement regarding the	
4	meeting, annual general meeting.		[4]	issuance of shares as well as the consent to	
[5]			[5]	equity sales.	
[6]	A: I would imagine the SEC or NASDAQ.		[6]	Q: What is the date of Exhibit 10?	
7	Q: You don't know?		[7]	A: Date of report says July 31.	
[8]	A: Not — no.		[8]	Q: Why don't you look at the	
	Q: To your knowledge are the numbers		[9]	signature page and tell me if that bears a date.	
[9	n the same?	[10]	A: Date says August 1, 2007.	
-				Q: When did you sign it?	
10	A **	[1	11]		
10	A: Usually.			A: August 1st, 2007.	
11	A: Usually.	(11]	A: August 1st, 2007. Q: Is this the 8-K that was filed	
11	A: Usually. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on August 1, 2007?	0.	11]		
11 12 13 14	A: Usually. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on August 1, 2007? A: No, I do not know.	(: (:	11] 12] 13] 14]	Q: Is this the 8-K that was filed	
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	A: Usually. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on August 1, 2007? A: No, I do not know.	(; (; ()	11] 12] 13] 14]	Q: Is this the 8-K that was filed with the SEC at 4:32 Eastern standard time on	
10 11 12 13 14	A: Usually. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on August 1, 2007? A: No, I do not know. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on July 31, 2007?	0: 0: 0:	11] 12] 13] 14]	Q: Is this the 8-K that was filed with the SEC at 4:32 Eastern standard time on August 1, 2007?	
10 11 12 13 14 15	A: Usually. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on August 1, 2007? A: No, I do not know. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on July 31, 2007? A: No, they were not.	0 0 0 0	11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16]	Q: Is this the 8-K that was filed with the SEC at 4:32 Eastern standard time on August 1, 2007? A: Yes.	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A: Usually. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on August 1, 2007? A: No, I do not know. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on July 31, 2007? A: No, they were not. Q: How do you know that? A: Because there is a document from	01 01 01 01 01	11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17]	Q: Is this the 8-K that was filed with the SEC at 4:32 Eastern standard time on August 1, 2007? A: Yes. Q: If I can refer you to page 4 of	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A: Usually. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on August 1, 2007? A: No, I do not know. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on July 31, 2007? A: No, they were not. Q: How do you know that? A: Because there is a document from the transfer agent that was required for the	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17]	Q: Is this the 8-K that was filed with the SEC at 4:32 Eastern standard time on August 1, 2007? A: Yes. Q: If I can refer you to page 4 of Exhibit 10, the first line under the title item	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A: Usually. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on August 1, 2007? A: No, I do not know. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on July 31, 2007? A: No, they were not. Q: How do you know that? A: Because there is a document from the transfer agent that was required for the securities purchase agreement and there is a	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	11] 12] 13] 14] 16] 17] 18]	Q: Is this the 8-K that was filed with the SEC at 4:32 Eastern standard time on August 1, 2007? A: Yes. Q: If I can refer you to page 4 of Exhibit 10, the first line under the title item 1.01, do you see that?	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21	A: Usually. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on August 1, 2007? A: No, I do not know. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on July 31, 2007? A: No, they were not. Q: How do you know that? A: Because there is a document from the transfer agent that was required for the	6 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 7 7	111] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20]	Q: Is this the 8-K that was filed with the SEC at 4:32 Eastern standard time on August 1, 2007? A: Yes. Q: If I can refer you to page 4 of Exhibit 10, the first line under the title item 1.01, do you see that? A: Yes.	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A: Usually. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on August 1, 2007? A: No, I do not know. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on July 31, 2007? A: No, they were not. Q: How do you know that? A: Because there is a document from the transfer agent that was required for the securities purchase agreement and there is a	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	111] 12] 13] 14] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20] 21]	Q: Is this the 8-K that was filed with the SEC at 4:32 Eastern standard time on August 1, 2007? A: Yes. Q: If I can refer you to page 4 of Exhibit 10, the first line under the title item 1.01, do you see that? A: Yes. Q: Do you see it says on August 1	
11 12 13 14 [15 [16 [17 [18 [19 [20 [21	A: Usually. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on August 1, 2007? A: No, I do not know. Q: Do you know whether the numbers were the same on July 31, 2007? A: No, they were not. Q: How do you know that? A: Because there is a document from the transfer agent that was required for the securities purchase agreement and there is a number on that, and that number is different than the document that the company keeps.	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	111] 12] 13] 14] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20] 21]	Q: Is this the 8-K that was filed with the SEC at 4:32 Eastern standard time on August 1, 2007? A: Yes. Q: If I can refer you to page 4 of Exhibit 10, the first line under the title item 1.01, do you see that? A: Yes. Q: Do you see it says on August 1 Local.com Corporation issued 2,356,900 shares of	

	Page 44 Page
Norman	[1] Norman
g August 1, 2007?	[2] A: No.
A: Most likely.	[3] Q: Is the warrant ledger time
Q: Tell me what the process would be	[4] stamped?
for the creation and delivery of repriced	[5] A: No.
warrants to Hearst in or about August 2007.	[6] Q: Are entries made into the warrant
A: We would have to have an agreement	[7] ledger on a daily basis?
and then we would strike the warrants from our	[8] A: Only when required.
ledger, existing ones, then reissue new ones	[9] Q: After the entry of the new
n that reflected the correct price.	[10] warrants into the warrant ledger, you said that
Q: So let's take this in steps.	[11] the superseded warrants would be stricken from
First, there would have to be an agreement,	[12] the ledger; is that correct?
g correct?	[13] MR. FRIED: Are you talking
A: Correct.	[14] generally or the specific
Q: Was there such an agreement?	[15] transaction?
A: Yes.	[16] MR. MANSFIELD: Let's talk
Q: When was that agreement?	[17] generally first, then we will talk
A: July 31, 2007.	[18] specifics.
Q: You said the next step would be to	[19] A: Generally, those two would happen
strike the warrants from the ledger, correct?	[20] at the same time.
A: To enter them into the ledger as	[21] Q: What, in fact, happened with
well as to strike the existing ones.	[22] respect to the repriced Hearst warrants?
Q: What ledger are we talking about?	[23] A: I would imagine they were done at
A: The warrant ledger.	[24] the same time.
Q: The warrant ledger you said is	[25] Q: Do you know whether they were done
	Page 45 Page
Norman	N
	[1] Norman
maintained by Local.com?	[1] Norman [2] the same time?
maintained by Local.com?	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time.
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger?	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff.	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time?
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that?	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No.
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes.	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No. [8] Q: Once the entry for the repriced
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When?	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No. [8] Q: Once the entry for the repriced [9] warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure.	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No. [8] Q: Once the entry for the repriced [9] warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if [10] anything happens next?
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure. Q: Would he have done it only on your	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No. [8] Q: Once the entry for the repriced [9] warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if [10] anything happens next? [11] MR. FRIED: Generally you
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure. Q: Would he have done it only on your authorization and direction in the normal course	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No. [8] Q: Once the entry for the repriced [9] warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if [10] anything happens next? [11] MR. FRIED: Generally you [12] are asking about because you are
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure. Q: Would he have done it only on your authorization and direction in the normal course of Local.com business?	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No. [8] Q: Once the entry for the repriced [9] warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if [10] anything happens next? [11] MR. FRIED: Generally you [12] are asking about because you are [13] going back and forth, which is fine.
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure. Q: Would he have done it only on your authorization and direction in the normal course of Local.com business? A: Yes.	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No. [8] Q: Once the entry for the repriced [9] warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if [10] anything happens next? [11] MR. FRIED: Generally you [12] are asking about because you are [13] going back and forth, which is fine. [14] Q: Let's talk about in general. What
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure. Q: Would he have done it only on your authorization and direction in the normal course of Local.com business? A: Yes. Q: Did there come a time that you	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No. [8] Q: Once the entry for the repriced [9] warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if [10] anything happens next? [11] MR. FRIED: Generally you [12] are asking about because you are [13] going back and forth, which is fine. [14] Q: Let's talk about in general. What [15] is the next step?
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure. Q: Would he have done it only on your authorization and direction in the normal course of Local.com business? A: Yes. Q: Did there come a time that you directed him to enter the new warrants into the	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No. [8] Q: Once the entry for the repriced [9] warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if [10] anything happens next? [11] MR. FRIED: Generally you [12] are asking about because you are [13] going back and forth, which is fine. [14] Q: Let's talk about in general. What [15] is the next step? [16] A: Next step is we would print hard
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure. Q: Would he have done it only on your authorization and direction in the normal course of Local.com business? A: Yes. Q: Did there come a time that you directed him to enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger?	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No. [8] Q: Once the entry for the repriced [9] warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if [10] anything happens next? [11] MR. FRIED: Generally you [12] are asking about because you are [13] going back and forth, which is fine. [14] Q: Let's talk about in general. What [15] is the next step? [16] A: Next step is we would print hard [17] copies of the new warrants and then I would sign
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure. Q: Would he have done it only on your authorization and direction in the normal course of Local.com business? A: Yes. Q: Did there come a time that you directed him to enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: Yes.	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No. [8] Q: Once the entry for the repriced [9] warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if [10] anything happens next? [11] MR. FRIED: Generally you [12] are asking about because you are [13] going back and forth, which is fine. [14] Q: Let's talk about in general. What [15] is the next step? [16] A: Next step is we would print hard [17] copies of the new warrants and then I would sign [18] them and then they would be sent out.
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure. Q: Would he have done it only on your authorization and direction in the normal course of Local.com business? A: Yes. Q: Did there come a time that you directed him to enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: Yes. Q: Do you remember when that was?	[1] Norman [2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No. [8] Q: Once the entry for the repriced [9] warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if [10] anything happens next? [11] MR. FRIED: Generally you [12] are asking about because you are [13] going back and forth, which is fine. [14] Q: Let's talk about in general. What [15] is the next step? [16] A: Next step is we would print hard [17] copies of the new warrants and then I would sign [18] them and then they would be sent out. [19] Q: Does the transfer agent play any
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure. Q: Would he have done it only on your authorization and direction in the normal course of Local.com business? A: Yes. Q: Did there come a time that you directed him to enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: Yes. Q: Do you remember when that was? A: No.	(1) Norman (2) the same time? (3) A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at (4) the same time. (5) Q: Would the system require that they (6) be done the same time? (7) A: No. (8) Q: Once the entry for the repriced (9) warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if (10) anything happens next? (11) MR. FRIED: Generally you (12) are asking about because you are (13) going back and forth, which is fine. (14) Q: Let's talk about in general. What (15) is the next step? (16) A: Next step is we would print hard (17) copies of the new warrants and then I would sign (18) them and then they would be sent out. (19) Q: Does the transfer agent play any (20) role whatsoever with respect to the issuance of
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure. Q: Would he have done it only on your authorization and direction in the normal course of Local.com business? A: Yes. Q: Did there come a time that you directed him to enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: Yes. Q: Do you remember when that was? A: No. Q: Would that have been done orally	(1) Norman (2) the same time? (3) A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at (4) the same time. (5) Q: Would the system require that they (6) be done the same time? (7) A: No. (8) Q: Once the entry for the repriced (9) warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if (10) anything happens next? (11) MR. FRIED: Generally you (12) are asking about because you are (13) going back and forth, which is fine. (14) Q: Let's talk about in general. What (15) is the next step? (16) A: Next step is we would print hard (17) copies of the new warrants and then I would sign (18) them and then they would be sent out. (19) Q: Does the transfer agent play any (20) role whatsoever with respect to the issuance of (21) repriced warrants?
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure. Q: Would he have done it only on your authorization and direction in the normal course of Local.com business? A: Yes. Q: Did there come a time that you directed him to enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: Yes. Q: Do you remember when that was? A: No. Q: Would that have been done orally or in writing?	[2] the same time? [3] A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at [4] the same time. [5] Q: Would the system require that they [6] be done the same time? [7] A: No. [8] Q: Once the entry for the repriced [9] warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if [10] anything happens next? [11] MR. FRIED: Generally you [12] are asking about because you are [13] going back and forth, which is fine. [14] Q: Let's talk about in general. What [15] is the next step? [16] A: Next step is we would print hard [17] copies of the new warrants and then I would sign [18] them and then they would be sent out. [19] Q: Does the transfer agent play any [20] role whatsoever with respect to the issuance of [21] repriced warrants? [22] A: No.
maintained by Local.com? A: Yes. Q: Who physically would enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: David Katzoff. Q: Did there come a time he did that? A: Yes. Q: When? A: I'm not sure. Q: Would he have done it only on your authorization and direction in the normal course of Local.com business? A: Yes. Q: Did there come a time that you directed him to enter the new warrants into the warrant ledger? A: Yes. Q: Do you remember when that was? A: No. Q: Would that have been done orally	(1) Norman (2) the same time? (3) A: Yes, I'm sure they were done at (4) the same time. (5) Q: Would the system require that they (6) be done the same time? (7) A: No. (8) Q: Once the entry for the repriced (9) warrants is made on the warrant ledger, what if (10) anything happens next? (11) MR. FRIED: Generally you (12) are asking about because you are (13) going back and forth, which is fine. (14) Q: Let's talk about in general. What (15) is the next step? (16) A: Next step is we would print hard (17) copies of the new warrants and then I would sign (18) them and then they would be sent out. (19) Q: Does the transfer agent play any (20) role whatsoever with respect to the issuance of (21) repriced warrants?

-		Page 48			D
F41	Norman	rage 40		Norman	Page 50
[1]	A: No.		[1]		
[2]	Q: Does the transfer agent keep any			A: No.	
[3]	I do a see all that would reflect weements that		[3]		
	are outstanding for Local.com?		[4]	Q: Would there be any documents or records at Local.com that would reflect when he	
[6]	A: I don't believe so.		1		
	Q: Is it part of the engagement		1 330	created the repriced warrant and when the	
[7]				repriced warrant was delivered to Hearst?	
	transfer agent to have any role at all with		[8]	MR. FRIED: Object to the	
	and the second s		1	form.	
[10]	Local.com?		[10]	Q: Do you understand the question?	
	A: No.		[11]	A: Yes.	
[12]	Q: With respect to the Hearst		[12]	Q: What records would those be?	
[13]	transaction, who would have created the physical		[13]	A: I would believe, depending on how	
			1	we delivered, such as FedEx, I would imagine	
[15]	A: David Katzoff.			there would be some record in our FedEx account.	
[16]	O. D I		[16]	Q: Do you know whether there would be	
[17]	10 to the three the expenses ladour		733	a transmittal note or letter that would go along	
[18]	was changed to reflect the new warrants and the			with the repriced warrants?	
[19]	creation of the physical new warrants?		[19]	A: There might be, like a cover	
[20]	A: No, I don't know if there was a			letter.	
[21]	time delay.		[21]	Q: In the normal course, would such a	
	Q: In the normal course, do the two			cover letter be signed by you or David Katzoff	
[23]	things happen close in proximity in time?			or someone else?	
[25]	A: Yes.		[24]	A: Probably David Katzoff. Q: Would you review it before it was	
(Eu)	71. 200.	Page 49	[25]	a. Would you review it before it was	
(4)	Norman	rage 49		Mormon	Page 51
(1)	Q: Once the new warrant is created,		[1]	Norman sent out?	
[5]	is it then in the normal course delivered to the			A: No.	
[4]	warrant holder?		[3]	Q: Does the warrant ledger keep a	
[5]	A: Yes.		[4]	real time tally of the number of warrants that	
(6)	Q: Is there normally a delay in time			are outstanding?	
[7]	between the time of the creation of the new		128	A: Yes.	
	warrant and delivery to the warrant holder?		[7]	Q: From Local.com's perspective, the	
[9]	A: Yes.		[8]	warrant ledger is the official record of what	
[10]	Q: What is the normal delay in time?			warrants are outstanding; is that correct?	
[11]	A: Short order, depending on delivery		[11]	MR. FRIED: Object to the	
	mechanism.		1	form.	
[13]	Q: Assuming there was physical		[13]	A: Yes.	
[14]			[14]	Q: Is there any other place that you	
[15]	and the beautiful to the same device the same deal			would go at Local.com or anywhere else to	
[16]	A: Yes.			determine the number of outstanding warrants?	
[17]	Q: Do you know how in fact with		[17]	A: No.	
[18]			[18]	Q: When you report — when Local.com	
[19]	1 1 11 1			reports to the SEC, what source does it use to	
[20]	A: No, I don't remember.			determine the number of outstanding warrants?	
[21]	Q: Do you know who would have sent		[21]	A: The warrant ledger.	
[22]	A second		[22]	Q: Describe to me when you look at	
[23]	Hearst?		-	the warrant ledger what it would say. What is	
[24]	A: David Katzoff.			its contents?	
[25]	Q: You don't know whether he did		[25]	A: It has dates on it. It has a	
			[-0]	white out it, at mad a	

_				
	Page 52	-		Page 54
[1]	Norman	[1]	Norman	
[2]	description of the event, such as issuance or		by the transfer agent?	
	option exercise or warrant exercise. Then it	[3]	MR. FRIED: Object to the	
[4]	would have a number of shares for that	100	form.	
[5]	particular event and then it would have	[5]	Q: Do you understand the question?	
[6]	cumulative shares. It also has calculations for	[6]	A: Yes, I understand the question.	
[7]	weighted average shares.	1 3 3	Again, depending on the purpose, we will use	
[8]	MR. MANSFIELD: We would		ours — our ledger.	
[9]	call for the - withdrawn.	[9]	Q: For purposes of reporting the	
[10]	Q: Is it possible at Local.com with		number of common shares outstanding issued and	
[11]	respect to its warrant ledger to print out the		outstanding on the books and records of	
	ledger for a particular date?		Local.com, for those purposes, which source do	
[13]	A: No — are you asking is there a		you consult?	
2007	way to determine whether how many shares were	[14]	A: My internal ledger.	
	outstanding at a particular date or whether I	[15]	Q: Other than for the preparation of	
	can go back and say what the ledger exactly	1	the annual report, is there any time that you	
800	looked like at a previous date?	1000	consult the registry maintained by the transfer	
[18]	Q: Can you tell me what the warrant		agent?	
	ledger would look like on July 31, 2007?	[19]	A: I would say yes, as it relates to	
[20]	A: Can I tell what you it looks like?		confirming our ledger as well.	
[21]	Q: Is there a hard copy of it?	(21)	Q: So you use that for information to	
[22]	A: I'm not sure.	1	see whether your ledger is correct?	
[23]	MR. MANSFIELD: I would call	[23]	A: For example, during an annual	
[24]	for the production of the warrant		audit, when I have my independent accountants	
	ledger for July 31, 2007, for		audit our ledger and our records, they would	
	Page 53	-		D 55
	Marman			Page 55
[1]	August 1, 2007 and for the month end	[1]	Norman	
	August 31, 2007.		want confirmation of the transfer agent's shares	
[3]	MR. FRIED: We will take it		outstanding as well.	
[4]	under advisement.	[4]	Q: For purposes of the corporate tax	
[5]	Is this a good place to take		return, which ledger do you consult for	
[6]	a break, Alan? It's been an hour	1	determining the number of shares of Local.com?	
	and a half, but I don't know where	[7]	A: Our internal.	
		[8]	Q: It was your testimony that with	
	you are. MR. MANSFIELD: Sure.		respect to the repriced Hearst warrants you do	
[10]	OVII		not know when the warrant ledger was updated; is	
[11]	a.m., a recess was taken to 11:40		that right?	
	o'clock a.m.)	[12]	MR. FRIED: I object to the form, I don't think that	
	(The deposition resumed with			
[14]	all parties present.)		characterizes his testimony	
	DOUGLAS NORMAN, resumed and	177.0	accurately. Q: There came a time that there was	
7	testified further as follows:	[16]		
	BY MR. MANSFIELD:	1000	an entry made in the warrant ledger maintained	
[18]	Q: Are you ready to proceed?		by Local.com with respect to the repriced	
[19]	A: Yes.		warrants issued to Hearst; is that correct?	
[20]	Q: Mr. Norman, clarify for the record	[20]	A: Yes.	
[21]	from the point of view of Local.com is the	[21]	Q: Is it your testimony you do not	
	official registry of its outstanding common		know what time the entry in the warrant ledger was made?	
	shares the one it maintains in the Excel		A: Yes.	
	spreadsheet or is it the one that's maintained	[24]		
[25]	spreadsheet of is it the one that's manifemen	[25]	Q: Is it your testimony you don't	

[25] the 2,356,900 shares?

[23] or August 1, 2007 entries were made by the

[24] transfer agent in its registry with respect to

whether - when during the day on either July 31

[22]

(23)

[24]

A: Not that specific time. I knew it

Q: Do you know whether the shares

[25] that are associated with the certificates

was done after we had sent instructions over.

_				
	Page 60	-		Page 62
[1]		[1]	Norman	
[2]	attached to Exhibit 5 were registered by the	[2]	297, which counsel provided us after the	
[3]	transfer agent before 2:44 p.m. Pacific time on		deposition began today. Can you identify	
[4]	August 1, 2007?		Exhibit 12?	
[5]	MR. FRIED: Objection,	[5]	A: These are E-mails from the	
[6]	asked and answered.	[6]	company's bank to Georgia Thompson who is the	
[7]	MR. MANSFIELD: That		company's controller.	
[8]	question was not asked, I assure	[8]	0.1111	
[9]	you.	1	do you mean Local.com's bank?	
[10]	MR. FRIED: You asked the	[10]	4 77	
[11]	general question if he knew it and	[11]		
	he said he didn't. The specific	1	11, correct?	
	question, I think, is covered by his	[13]		
	original answer, but you can answer	[14]		
	the question.	1	and tell me if you can identify it?	
[16]	A: I do not have knowledge of that.	[16]		
[17]	Q: Do you know whether it would be		company's bank that were attached to the	
	the practice of Local.com's transfer agent to	1000		
	issue hard copies of certificates before the		E-mails. Q: The 2,356,900 shares were	
[20]		[19]		
	have been entered into the transfer agent's		purchased pursuant to a PIPE, is that correct? A: Correct.	
	registry?	[21]		
[23]	A: I do not know.	[22]	Q: Who were the purchasers? A: There were two funds and then	
[24]	Q: So you don't know whether it	[23]		
	happened before or after, correct?		within those funds they actually purchased them	
(LO)		[55]	with specific — I guess sub-funds, you would	
	Page 61			Page 63
[1]	Norman	[1]	Norman	
[2]	MR. FRIED: As the general	[2]	say, there's 27 entries and within there, there	
[3]	practice?	[3]	were more entries.	
[4]	A: No, I'm not sure.	[4]	Q: For our purposes in this	
[5]	Q: With respect to the 2,356,900		deposition, can we call those funds and the	
	shares, would it be fair to say you don't know	[6]	sub-funds the buyers, would we understand each	
	one way or the other whether the shares were	[7]	other if we refer to it as the buyers?	
	registered by the transfer agent before or after	[8]	A: Yes.	
	the creation of the certificates that are	[9]	Q: The buyers were party to the stock	
[10]	attached to Exhibit 5, correct?	[10]	purchase agreement that you discussed earlier	
[11]	A: Correct.	[11]	today, correct?	
[12]	MR. MANSFIELD: Why don't we	[12]	A: Yes.	
[13]	mark this as Exhibit 11.	[13]	Q: And Local.com was the other party,	
[14]	(E-mail string was marked as	[14]	correct?	
9	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 11 for	[15]	A: Yes.	
[16]	identification, as of this date.)	[16]	Q: It was that stock purchase	
[17]	MR. LOPEZ: That is?	[17]	agreement that you said had various conditions	
[18]	MR. MANSFIELD: Forty-two.	[18]	of closing, correct?	
	Why don't we mark this as	[19]	A: Yes.	
[20]	12.	[20]	Q: Do the documents contained in	
[21]	(Bank advices was marked as	[21]	Exhibits 11 and 12 reflect payment made by the	
	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 12 for	[22]	buyers pursuant to the stock purchase agreement?	
[23]	identification, as of this date.)	[23]	A: Yes.	
[24]	Q: Mr. Norman, you have before you	[24]	Q: These were payments for the common	
[54]				

IVI	ay 15, 2006		LOCALIO
	Page 68	-	Page
[1]	N	[1]	
	call for any document reflecting	[2]	
	when Rattan and Tucker sent the		and delivery of stock certificates in connection
-	opinion letter referred to in		
	Exhibit 31 to the transfer agent.		with the PIPE, what representative or
	MR. FRIED: We will take it		representatives on behalf of the buyers would
[6]	under advisement.		have been involved, to your knowledge?
		[7]	
[8]	Q: Was there any event after	1	name was George Antonoupolos. He was my contact
5.0	receiving the last payment at or about 4:06	[9]	at Hudson Bay or the contact for the company.
	Eastern Standard Time from the buyers on	[10]	
	August 1, 2007 that Local.com was waiting for	[11]	A: Let me look in my address book —
	before delivering stock certificates to the	[12]	and then their counsel.
[13]	buyers?	[13]	A-n-t-o-n-o-u-p-o-l-o-s. He is with Hudson,
[14]		[14]	H-u-d-s-o-n, Bay, Capital Management. Would you
[15]		[15]	like a phone number or something for him?
[16]	A: Filing the 8-K.	[16]	Q: Do you have an address?
[17]	Q: The 8-K occurred at what time?	[17]	A: 120 Broadway, 40th floor, 10271.
[18]	A: It was 1:32, was it?	[18]	Q: While you have your address book
[19]	Q: Was that information conveyed to	[19]	handy, can you tell me the address of David
[20]	the transfer agent?	[20]	Katzoff?
[21]	A: I do not know.	[21]	A: I do not have his address, his
22]	Q: You didn't convey that to the	[22]	home address.
23]	transfer agent, correct?	[23]	Q: Do you have a phone number?
24]	A: No.	[24]	
[25]	Q: Do you know whether David Katzoff	[25]	
	Page 69		Page
[1]	Norman	[1]	
[2]	did one way or the other?	100	do you know whether you will be
[3]	A: He may have verbally.		representing David Katzoff and that
[4]	Q: Do you know whether he did?		he is apparently a consultant but
[5]	A: No.		not an employee of Local.com?
[6]	Q: You said that you were personally	[6]	MR. FRIED: I don't know at
	involved in connection with the PIPE	1	this time. We can look into that
	transaction?	1 7 7	and get back to you.
[9]	A: Yes.	[0]	
10]	Q: Who on the part of the buyers was	[8]	Q: Do you have an address for Rich
	involved in the PIPE transaction?		Tilton?
12]	A: Well, the people in charge of the	[11]	MR. FRIED: This will teach
	funds, whoever at the funds is in charge of the		you not to take it out at future
	particular transaction, as well as their		depositions.
	corporate counsel.	[14]	A: 1745 Gardena, G-a-r-d-e-n-a
	Q: With respect to the issuance and		Avenue, Suite 200, Glendale, G-l-e-n-d-a-l-e,
16]	delivery of stock certificates, is there someone		California, 91204.
		[17]	Q: What is the current name of his
	you can identify who would have been involved in	[18]	employer?
	that part of the transaction on behalf of the	[19]	A: Computer Share.
	funds?	[20]	Q: Mr. Norman, I will ask you to look
21]	A: Regarding — could you clarify?	[21]	at Exhibit 1. Do you have that?
22]	Q: The issuance and delivery of stock	[22]	A: Yes.
23]	certificates.	[23]	Q: Exhibit 1 attaches transfer agent
24]	A: You mean just the process with the	[24]	instructions, correct?
751	transfer agent?		MD FRIED OLI

[25] transfer agent?

MR. FRIED: Object to the